From Friday 16 April 2010 Southwest Early College Campus Written 21 April 2010 R. Delaware

Halley Chapman, 6th grade teacher at Southwest Early College Campus, was surprised that a standard approximation did not uniquely determine where the decimal point should go in the product of two decimals. This lead me to the following:

Theorem: As notation, let $n, m \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, δ, ε satisfy $0 \le \delta, \varepsilon \le 1$, and $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$. Assume we have the following decimal multiplication question, with upper bound and lower bound approximations as shown:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \leq n + \varepsilon \leq n + 1 \\ m \leq m + \delta \leq m + 1 \\ Multiplying vertically \\ nm \leq (n + \varepsilon)(m + \delta) \leq (n + 1)(m + 1) . \end{bmatrix}$$

So, the "correct product" is: $(n + \varepsilon)(m + \delta)$
Part (1)
$$\begin{bmatrix} We \text{ have } 10^{-k} \text{ times the correct product} \\ lying between the approximations, meaning \\ nm \leq 10^{-k}(n + \varepsilon)(m + \delta) \leq (n + 1)(m + 1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [n = 0 \text{ or } m = 0].$$

Part (2)
$$\begin{bmatrix} We \text{ have } 10^{j} \text{ times the correct product} \\ lying between the approximations \\ (for all j = 1, 2, ..., k), meaning \\ nm \leq 10^{j}(n + \varepsilon)(m + \delta) \leq (n + 1)(m + 1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [n = 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{10^{k}} \left(\frac{m + 1}{m + \delta}\right) \\ \text{ or } \\ m = 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{10^{k}} \left(\frac{m + 1}{m + \varepsilon}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

PROOF:

We note the correct product for reference:

 $(n + \varepsilon)(m + \delta) = nm + n\delta + m\varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon$

Part (1): If 10^{-k} times the correct product is to lie between the two approximations for $k \ge 1$, it is clear that we need only check that the left inequality holds, meaning that

 $nm \leq 10^{-k}(nm + n\delta + m\varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon)$ (*)

[\leftarrow] If n = 0, then inequality (*) becomes

$$0 \leq 10^{-k} (m\varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon)$$

which is true. Likewise, if m = 0 the associated inequality (*)

 $0 \leq 10^{-k} (n\delta + \delta\varepsilon)$

is similarly true.

[\Rightarrow] By contrapositive, now suppose both $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, meaning both $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$. We then have

$$n\delta \le n \cdot 1 \le nm$$
,
 $m\varepsilon \le m \cdot 1 \le nm$, and
 $\delta\varepsilon \le 1 \cdot 1 \le nm$.

Adding vertically, we get

 $n\delta + m\varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon \leq 3nm$.

But then because $k \ge 1$, we have $10^{-k} \le 10^{-1}$, so

$$10^{-k}(nm + n\delta + m\varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon) \le 10^{-1} \cdot 4nm < nm$$

which is the negation of inequality (*).

Part (2): If 10^k times the correct product is to lie between the two approximations (and likewise for each 10^j times the correct product for all j = 1, 2, ..., k), it is clear that we need only check that the right inequality holds, meaning that

$$10^{k}(nm + n\delta + m\varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon) \le (n+1)(m+1) \qquad (**)$$

 $[\leftarrow]$ If

$$n=0$$
 and $0\leq \epsilon\leq rac{1}{10^k}\Big(rac{m+1}{m+\delta}\Big)$,

then because n = 0, inequality (* *) becomes

 $10^k(m\varepsilon+\delta\varepsilon)\leq m+1$.

The condition on $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ thus implies

$$10^{k}(m\varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon)$$

= $(m + \delta)10^{k}\varepsilon$
$$\leq (m + \delta)10^{k} \left[\frac{1}{10^{k}} \left(\frac{m + 1}{m + \delta}\right)\right]$$

= $m + 1$

so inequality (* *) holds, as desired. Similarly, if

$$m=0$$
 and $0 \le \delta \le rac{1}{10^k} \Big(rac{n+1}{n+\varepsilon} \Big)$,

then inequality (* *) becomes

$$10^k(n\delta+\delta\varepsilon)\leq n+1,$$

and the condition on δ thus implies

$$10^{k}(n\delta + \delta\varepsilon)$$

= $(n + \varepsilon)10^{k}\delta$
 $\leq (n + \varepsilon)10^{k} \left[\frac{1}{10^{k}} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+\varepsilon}\right)\right]$
= $n + 1$

so again inequality (* *) holds, as desired.

 $[\Rightarrow]$ By contrapositive, now suppose both

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \neq 0 \text{ or } \varepsilon > \frac{1}{10^{k}} \left(\frac{m+1}{m+\delta}\right) \\ \text{and} \\ m \neq 0 \text{ or } \delta > \frac{1}{10^{k}} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+\varepsilon}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

There are 4 cases to examine.

Case 1: $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$.

Since both $n \ge 1$ and $m \ge 1$, δ , ε are non-negative, and $k \ge 1$ we see that

$$10^k(nm+n\delta+m\varepsilon+\delta\varepsilon) \ge 10nm$$

$$= nm + nm + nm + nm + 6nm$$

> nm + n + m + 1
= (n + 1)(m + 1),

which is the negation of inequality (* *), as desired.

Case 2: $n \neq 0$ (hence $n \geq 1$) and $\delta > \frac{1}{10^k} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+\epsilon} \right)$. Since $n \geq 1$, δ, ϵ are non-negative, and $k \geq 1$, using the given δ inequality we have $10^k (nm + n\delta + m\epsilon + \delta\epsilon) = 10^k nm + 10^k \delta(n + \epsilon) + 10^k m\epsilon$ $\geq 10nm + 10^k \left[\frac{1}{10^k} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+\epsilon} \right) \right] (n + \epsilon)$ = 8nm + nm + nm + n + 1> nm + m + n + 1= (n + 1)(m + 1),

which is the negation of inequality (* *), as desired.

Case 3: $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{10^k} \left(\frac{m+1}{m+\delta} \right)$ and $m \neq 0$.

The proof here is exactly analogous to that of Case 2.

Case 4: $\epsilon > \frac{1}{10^k} \left(\frac{m+1}{m+\delta} \right)$ and $\delta > \frac{1}{10^k} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+\epsilon} \right)$.

Observe that here we can also assume that n = m = 0, since if not, we reduce to one of **Cases 1, 2, or 3**. So, inequality (* *) reduces from

$$10^k(nm + n\delta + m\varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon) \le (n+1)(m+1)$$

to

 $10^k \delta \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Also, our two given conditions reduce to

$$\varepsilon > \frac{1}{10^k} \frac{1}{\delta}$$
 and $\delta > \frac{1}{10^k} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$,

meaning the one condition:

$$10^k \delta \varepsilon > 1$$

which is once again the negation of inequality (* *), as desired.